UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

O.	V. V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	Antonio Santiago-Bautista	Case Number: <u>12-02250M-001</u>	
present and v	was represented by counsel. I conclude by a pro the defendant pending trial in this case.	, a detention hearing was held on December 26, 2012. Defendant was eponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
I find by a pro	eponderance of the evidence that:	INGS OF FACT	
×	The defendant is not a citizen of the United	States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.	
	The defendant, at the time of the charged of	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.	
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
X	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	years imprisonment.	
The at the time of	Court incorporates by reference the material fine f the hearing in this matter, except as noted in t	dings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court he record.	
		USIONS OF LAW	
1.	There is a serious risk that the defendant w		
2.	No condition or combination of conditions w	vill reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	
		EGARDING DETENTION	
a corrections appeal. The of the United	s facility separate, to the extent practicable, from defendant shall be afforded a reasonable oppor I States or on request of an attorney for the Gove	torney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending tunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court ernment, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the n appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	
	APPEALS AND	THIRD PARTY RELEASE	
		tion order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to trial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District	
Services suffinvestigate th	ficiently in advance of the hearing before the E he potential third party custodian.	d party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DATE: De	ecember 26, 2012_		

JAMES F. METCALF United States Magistrate Judge